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Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2010.
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-15,17-19,21-23,25,29-39 and 41 is/are pending in the application.
   4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)☐ Claim(s) 36-39 is/are allowed.
6)☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-15,17-19,21-23,25,29-35 and 41 is/are rejected.
7)☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
8)☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to by the Examiner.

   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
   a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
   1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
   2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
   3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
   application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

   * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| 1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ |
| 3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6)☐ Other: ____ |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
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Office Action Summary
Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100621
Response to Amendment

1. The amendment dated 3/26/2010 has been fully considered and entered into the Record. Claims 32 and 36 have been amended and new claim 41 has been added. Claims 1-3, 5-15, 17-19, 21-23, 25, 29-39 and 41 are currently pending. The amended claims overcome the previous 112 1st paragraph rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-15, 17-19, 21-23, 25, 29-35 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The rejected claims are directed to a roof covering wherein the tear strength of the roof covering is increased by at least about 5% as measured by ASTM D 1922 compared to the same roof covering without the various sulfur-containing materials in the sizing. The instant specification, however, only provides support for a roof
covering that is a "roofing shingle", wherein the tear strength of the "roofing shingle" is increased by at least 5% as measured by ASTM D 1922 compared to the same roof covering without the various sulfur-containing materials added. Applicant is directed to amend the instant claims to recite a roof covering that is a roofing shingle with increased tear strength.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

3. Claims 36-39 are allowed.

4. The following is an examiner’s statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of Record fails to teach or render obvious a roof covering comprising a roofing mat of fibers sized with a composition of film forming polymer, coupling agent, lubricant and a sulfur-containing material having therein sulfur groups that form cross-links with the asphalt that coats the fibrous mat, nor does it teach or render obvious a roof covering comprising a fibrous roofing mat sized with a composition of film forming polymer, coupling agent, lubricant and coated with asphalt that has elemental sulfur in an amount from 0.1 to 2.0 weight percent.

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled “Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.”
Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW D. MATZEK whose telephone number is (571)272-2423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner’s supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on 571.272.1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew D Matzek/
Examiner, Art Unit 1786